

## **REMARKS**

### **General**

The present is in response to the Office Action dated July 26, 2007, where the Examiner has rejected Claims 1, 3 and 5-12, objected to Claims 13 and 14 and allowed Claims 15-20. Accordingly, Claims 1, 3 and 5-20 are pending in the present application. Reconsideration and allowance of pending Claims 13-20 in view of the amendments and remarks are respectfully requested.

### **Status of the Claims**

Claims 1, 3, 5-12 and 15-20 are rejected by the Examiner.

#### **By this amendment:**

Claim 13 has been amended.

Claims 1-12 have been canceled.

### **Response to rejection of Claims under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)**

The Examiner has rejected Claims 1-12 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over **SUN et al.** (USPN 5,239,181) in view of various references. Applicants have canceled Claims 1-12. Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that the present invention, as defined by remaining Claims 13-20, is patentably distinguishable over **SUN et al.** in view of the various references. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 13-20 should now be allowed.

### **Allowable Subject matter**

The Examiner has indicated that Claims 15-20 are allowed.

The Examiner has indicated that Claims 13 and 14 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Applicants have amended Claim 13 to include all the limitations of the base claim. Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 13 and 14 should now be allowed.

### **Conclusion**

Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 13-20 are now in condition for allowance.

No fee is required with this response.

Respectfully submitted,

SPAWAR SYSTEMS CENTER SAN DIEGO  
OFFICE OF PATENT COUNSEL 20012

By /Allan Lee/  
Allan Y. Lee  
Registration No. 43,744  
(619) 553-3818